

E-appendix Table 1: Risk of bias assessment* of included studies which evaluated the accuracy of blood pressure measuring instruments in Tibet

Study ID	Patient Selection			Index Test		Reference Standard		Flow and Timing		
	<i>Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?</i>	<i>Was a case–control design avoided?</i>	<i>Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?</i>	<i>Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?</i>	<i>If a threshold was used, was it prespecified?</i>	<i>Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the target condition?</i>	<i>Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test?</i>	<i>Was there an appropriate interval between the index test and reference standard?</i>	<i>Did all patients receive the same reference standard?</i>	<i>Were all patients included in the analysis?</i>
Li et al ²⁵	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Cho et al ²⁶	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk

E-appendix Table 2: Assessment of applicability concerns* of included studies which evaluated the accuracy of blood pressure measuring instruments in Tibet

Study ID	Patient Selection	Index Test	Reference Standard
	<i>Are There Concerns That the Included Patients and Setting Do Not Match the Review Question?</i>	<i>Are There Concerns That the Index Test, Its Conduct, or Its Interpretation Differ From the Review Question?</i>	<i>Are There Concerns That the Target Condition as Defined by the Reference Standard Does Not Match the Question?</i>
Li et al ²⁵	Unclear	High risk	Low risk
Cho et al ²⁶	Low risk	Unclear	Unclear

*Assessed using the QUADAS-2 criteria.²³